SUMMARY OF FINAL 2012 AYP RESULTS

DISTRICTS

Of the 1,227 districts, 340 districts (28%) met AYP and 873 districts (71%) did not meet AYP in 2012. A total of 860 (99%) of the districts that missed AYP are Title I school districts that will potentially be subject to school improvement requirements in the 2012-13 school year. Only 14 of the 1,227 districts evaluated for AYP (1%) are assigned a Not Evaluated status. Of these, 8 (57%) are new charter districts. Another 6 (43%) districts received the status of Not Evaluated: Other, all of which had insufficient data upon which to base an evaluation.

For the State of Texas, the state was evaluated on each of the 35 possible AYP measures. Texas met AYP across all 35 measures except for Reading/ELA Performance (African-American, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, Special Education and limited English proficient) and Mathematics Performance (African-American, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, Special Education and limited English proficient).

CAMPUSSES

Of the 8,529 campuses, 3,794 campuses (44%) met AYP and 4,054 campuses (48%) did not meet AYP in 2012. Of the 8,529 campuses evaluated for AYP, 681 (8%) are Not Evaluated for AYP, which is assigned to new campuses, pre-Kindergarten through Kindergarten only campuses, or other types of campuses, such as Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program (JJAEP), Disciplinary Alternative Education Program (DAEP), and alternative education campuses (AECs) with short term placements where students are not served for the full academic year at the AEC.

Of the 4,054 campuses that did not meet AYP, 3,286 campuses (81%) are Title I campuses that will potentially be subject to school improvement requirements in the 2012-13 school year. The remaining 768 campuses (19%) are non-Title I campuses that are not subject to the school improvement requirements.

Information about the school improvement requirements for districts and campuses may be accessed at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index4.aspx?id=4459&menu_id=798. Please contact the Division of School Improvement and Support with any questions via electronic mail at SISDivision@tea.state.tx.us or telephone at (512) 463-7582.

COMPARISON OF 2011 AND 2012 AYP RESULTS

Of the 1,228 districts evaluated in 2011, final results indicate that 617 districts (50%) met AYP and 603 districts (49%) did not meet AYP. The final results for 2012 as a result of appeals, indicate that 873 districts (71%) did not meet AYP, which is an increase of 270 districts from 2011.

Of the 8,526 campuses evaluated in 2011, the final results indicate that 5,636 campuses (66%) met AYP and 2,190 campuses (26%) were identified as Missed AYP. The final results for 2012, as a result of appeals, indicate that 4,054 campuses (48%) did not meet AYP, an increase of 1,864 campuses from 2011.
SUMMARY OF 2012 AYP APPEALS AND EXCEPTIONS

The 2012 AYP Guide provided districts with instructions for submitting appeals and guidelines describing the circumstances under which AYP data may be appealed and the documentation required in support of the appeal. The 2012 AYP Appeals Guidelines were developed to ensure that the appeals process was applied fairly and consistently for each appeal and reflected state policy related to federal accountability determinations. The guidelines include a brief rationale for granting or denying the most common appeal reasons. In addition, exceptions to the federal 1% cap were considered in 2012. The 2012 AYP Guide provided districts with instructions for submitting applications for exception to the 1% cap.

The 2012 AYP Appeals process was reviewed by an external committee that was familiar with the federal accountability system. The process was recommended by the review committee as reconciling federal assessment and accountability policies fairly without compromising the high standards that are the foundation of both systems.

The 2012 AYP Guide and the AYP Appeals Guidelines can be found online at http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/ayp/2012/index.html.

APPEALS AND EXCEPTIONS

A total of 180 school district appeal requests were received after the 2012 preliminary release, 16 (9%) of which requested exceptions to the 1% federal cap. There were 660 total appeal requests to either the district or campus statuses, 23 (3%) were granted.

Of the total requests for appeals and exceptions, 38 were appeals for district results, and 622 were appeals for campus results.

Of the 38 district appeals, 2 (5%) resulted in the district’s AYP status changing from Missed AYP to Meets AYP. Of the 622 appeals for campuses, 21 (3%) resulted in campus’ AYP status changing from Missed AYP to Meets AYP.