

SUMMARY OF FINAL 2011 AYP RESULTS

DISTRICTS

Of the 1,228 districts, 617 districts (50%) met AYP and 603 districts (49%) did not meet AYP in 2011. A total of 597 (99%) of the districts that missed AYP are Title I school districts that will potentially be subject to school improvement requirements in the 2011-12 school year.

For the State of Texas, the state was evaluated on each of the 29 possible AYP measures. Texas met AYP across all 29 measures except for Reading/ELA Performance (Special Education and LEP) and Mathematics Performance (Special Education).

CAMPUSES

Of the 8,526 campuses, 5,636 campuses (66%) met AYP and 2,190 campuses (26%) did not meet AYP in 2011. Of all campuses, 700 campuses (8%) were not evaluated in 2011. Most of the campuses that were not evaluated for AYP were either new campuses, pre-Kindergarten through Kindergarten only campuses, or other types of campuses, such as Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program (JJAEP), Disciplinary Alternative Education Program (DAEP), and alternative education campuses (AECs) with short term placements where students are not served for the full academic year at the AEC.

Of the 2,190 campuses that did not meet AYP, 1,807 campuses (83%) are Title I campuses that will potentially be subject to school improvement requirements in the 2011-12 school year. The remaining 383 campuses (17%) are non-Title I campuses that are not subject to the school improvement requirements.

Information about the school improvement requirements for districts and campuses may be accessed at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index4.aspx?id=4459&menu_id=798. Please contact the Division of School Improvement and Support with any questions via electronic mail at SISDivision@tea.state.tx.us or telephone at (512) 463-5899.

COMPARISON OF 2010 AND 2011 AYP RESULTS

Of the 1,237 districts evaluated in 2010, final results indicate that 969 districts (78%) met AYP and 250 districts (20%) did not meet AYP. The final results for 2011 as a result of appeals, indicate that 603 districts (49%) did not meet AYP, which is an increase of 353 districts from 2010.

Of the 8,435 campuses evaluated in 2010, the final results indicate that 7,241 campuses (86%) met AYP and 368 campuses (4%) were identified as *Missed AYP*. The final results for 2011, as a result of appeals, indicate that 2,190 campuses (26%) did not meet AYP, an increase of 1,822 campuses from 2010.

SUMMARY OF FINAL 2011 AYP RESULTS

COMPARISON TO STATE ACCOUNTABILITY

Of the **617** districts that met AYP in 2011, **598** districts (**97%**) were issued a state accountability rating of *Exemplary*, *Recognized*, or *Academically Acceptable* (in standard or AEA procedures); **19** districts (**3%**) were rated *Academically Unacceptable* in either state standard or alternative education accountability (AEA) procedures. Of the **603** districts that missed AYP, **63** districts (**10%**) received an *Academically Unacceptable* rating (in standard or AEA procedures); **412** districts (**68%**) were rated *Academically Acceptable* in either standard or AEA procedures, and **128** districts (**21%**) were rated *Exemplary* or *Recognized*.

Of the **5,636** campuses that met AYP, **5,330** (**95%**) campuses received a state accountability rating of *Exemplary*, *Recognized*, or *Academically Acceptable* (in standard or AEA procedures). **111** campuses (**2%**) of those that met AYP were rated *Academically Unacceptable* in either standard or AEA procedures. Of the **2,190** campuses that missed AYP, **391** campuses (**18%**) received an *Academically Unacceptable* rating (in standard or AEA procedures); **1,481** campuses (**68%**) were rated *Academically Acceptable* in either standard or AEA procedures, and **312** campuses (**14%**) were rated *Exemplary* or *Recognized*.

SUMMARY OF NOT EVALUATED DISTRICTS AND CAMPUSES

District

Only **8** of the **1,228** districts evaluated for AYP (**1%**) are assigned a *Not Evaluated* status. Of these, **3** (**38%**) are new charter districts. Another **5** (**62%**) districts received the status of *Not Evaluated: Other*, all of which had insufficient data upon which to base an evaluation.

Campus

Of the **8,526** campuses evaluated for AYP, **700** (**8%**) are assigned a *Not Evaluated* status for the following reasons:

New Campus	259
PK-K Only	12
Disciplinary Alternative Education Program (DAEP)	147
Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program (JJAEP)	141
AYP Special Analysis for Small Numbers	12
Other reasons (such as No TAKS Results)	129

SUMMARY OF FINAL 2011 AYP RESULTS

SUMMARY OF 2011 AYP APPEALS AND EXCEPTIONS

The 2011 AYP Guide provided districts with instructions for submitting appeals and guidelines describing the circumstances under which AYP data may be appealed and the documentation required in support of the appeal. The 2011 AYP Appeals Guidelines were developed to ensure that the appeals process was applied fairly and consistently for each appeal and reflected state policy related to federal accountability determinations. The guidelines include a brief rationale for granting or denying the most common appeal reasons. In addition, exceptions to the federal 1% cap were considered in 2011. The 2011 AYP Guide provided districts with instructions for submitting applications for exception to the 1% cap.

The 2011 AYP Appeals process was reviewed by an external panel that was familiar with the state and federal accountability systems and served as the external review panel for the 2011 state accountability appeals. The process was recommended by the review panel as reconciling state and federal assessment and accountability policies fairly without compromising the high standards that are the foundation of both systems.

The 2011 AYP Guide and the AYP Appeals Guidelines can be found online at <http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/ayp/2011/index.html>.

APPEALS AND EXCEPTIONS

A total of **61** school district appeal requests were received after the 2011 preliminary release, **10 (16%)** of which requested exceptions to the 1% federal cap. There were **121** total appeal requests to either the district or campus statuses, **58 (48%)** were granted.

Of the total requests for appeals and exceptions, **28** were appeals for district results, and **93** were appeals for campus results.

Of the 28 district appeals, **2 (7%)** resulted in the district's AYP status changing from *Missed AYP* to *Meets AYP*. Of the 93 appeals for campuses, **39 (42%)** resulted in campus' AYP status changing from *Missed AYP* to *Meets AYP*.